United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/673,398	09/30/2003	Si-Hyun Song	8734.239.00 US	3749	
30827 75	90 11/28/2006	EXAMINER			
MCKENNA L	ONG & ALDRIDGE L	ADAMS, GREGORY W			
1900 K STREE WASHINGTON			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	1, BC 20000		3652		
			DATE MAILED: 11/28/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>; </u>		Application	No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/673,398		SONG, SI-HYUN					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Gregory W.	Adams	3652					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period for f					_				
WHICHI - Extensio after SIX - If NO pe - Failure to Any reply	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for for ply is specified above, the maximum statutory to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the context of th	NG DATE OF THIS CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ion. period will apply and will e y statute, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tirr xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on	17 October 2006.							
•	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)∐ Si	<u> </u>								
cle	osed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Qua</i> y	de, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims								
4)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□ C	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
7) C	laim(s) is/are objected to.				•				
8)□ C	laim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election req	uirement.		•				
Application	Papers								
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			•				
10)∐ Th	e drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐	objected to by the l	Examiner.					
Aı	oplicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the o			·	(d).				
11)∐ Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreian priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠				, (-) (-)					
	☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been	received.						
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2002-0061912.								
3.	☐ Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority document	s have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
	application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).						
* See	e the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certifie	d copies not receive	ed.					
	•								
Attachment(s)	•							
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4	Interview Summary						
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/		Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/14/06</u> . 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/673,398

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 17, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1, 3-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Babbs (Us 5.823,361) in view of Betsuyaku (US 6,006,919).

Application/Control Number: 10/673,398

Art Unit: 3652

With respect to claims 1, 3, 7-13, 14-19, Babbs discloses a frame, support members 126 protruding from opposing sides, and two support bars 125 connecting two support members 126 configured to distributed a load across a substrate. Babbs does not disclose rectangular supporting bars connecting two support members. Betsuyaku discloses a liquid crystal display panel cassette including rectangular supporting bars (FIG. 4: 3) that per international agreement improves "durability, sealing performance, good anti-staining performance, unmanned use, automation, ease of cleaning, etc."

C1/L28. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Babbs to include rectangular supporting bars as per the teachings of Betsuyaku, to improve LCD cassette performance.

With respect to claims 4-6, 20, Babbs discloses a frame, support members 126 protruding from opposing sides, and two support bars 125 connecting two support members 126 configured to distributed a load across a substrate, and does not explicitly disclose supporting liquid crystal display panels including thin film arrays and color filters but Babbs discloses supporting large glass substrates by using support members connected by support bars to minimize sag. C1. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Babbs' cassette to store liquid crystal display panels comprising thin transistor arrays and color filters as Babbs discloses the apparatus which could function to support large objects. Babbs does not disclose rectangular supporting bars connecting two support members.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,398

Art Unit: 3652

supporting bars (FIG. 4: 3) that per international agreement improves "durability, sealing performance, good anti-staining performance, unmanned use, automation, ease of cleaning, etc." C1/L28. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Babbs to include rectangular supporting bars as per the teachings of Betsuyaku, to improve LCD cassette performance.

Claim 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Babbs (Us 5,823,361) in view Stadler et al. (US 5,236,548) (previously cited). Babbs discloses a frame, support members 126 protruding from opposing sides, and two support bars 125 connecting two support members 126. Babbs does not disclose acetal resin. Referring to FIGS. 1-2 Stadler et al. disclose support bars 8 of acetal resin which is resistant to cleaning agents and etchants and that do no contaminate substrate. Col. 5, Ins. 39-46. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Babbs' supports to include acetal resin, as per the teachings of Stadler et al., such that supports resist cleaning agents and etchants and will not contaminate substrates.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/673,398 Page 5

Art Unit: 3652

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory W. Adams whose telephone number is (571) 272-8101. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th., 8:00-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on (571) 272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GWA

EILEEN D. LILLIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600